BEST AVAILABLE COPY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY							
То:				PCT			
	see form l	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	national application I T/GB2004/00510		International filing date (c 03.12.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04.12.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65D45/20, B65D43/02							
Applicant IMPERIAL CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES PLC							
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention				
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; c	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	a.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	nents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	lication			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invisuomit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the pri whichever expires later.							
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detai	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Mans-Kamerbeek, M
Telephone No. +31 70 340-3969



10/581825 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/GB2004/005103

		IAPS RECOPCTIPTO 32 JUN 2015			
	Box N				
1.	With r	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With r	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:				
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. for	mat of material:			
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	r C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished			

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005103

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 35, 36				
because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 35, 36				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	otide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
ĮΣ	Soo congrate cheet for further	dotoi	ilo.		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005103

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-5, 7, 8, 10-14, (17) 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 32-34 6, 9, 15, 16, 19, 22, 24, 27, 29, 31

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-14, (17) 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 28, 30, 34 6, 9, 15, 16, 19, 22-24, 27, 29, 31-33

Claims No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-34

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

Claims 35 and 36 do not comply with Rule 6.2(a) PCT

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 5 125 697 A (KAHL ET AL) 30 June 1992 (1992-06-30)

D2: US 1 482 931 A (KEEHN CLARENCE C) 5 February 1924 (1924-02-05)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 6

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a container assembly with container, cover and hingeable locking member, hinging upwardly to the locking position, as claimed in claim 6.

- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 9, 15, 16, 19, 22-24, 27, 29, 31-33

 Dependent claims 9, 15, 16, 19, 22-24, 27, 29, 31-33 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 7, 8, 10-14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 28, 30
 The combination of the features of dependent claims 7, 8, 10-14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 28, 30 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.

5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 5.1 Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a container assembly with container, cover and hingeable locking member from which the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the locking member passes over the rim of the container/cover when hinging between locked and unlocked such that it locks onto the container rim.
- 5.1.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as preventing accidental release of the locking member.

- 5.1.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
 - It is not suggested in the prior art to have locking means either on the cover or on the container that lock onto the container rim.
- 5.1.3 Claims 2-5 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

6 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 34

- 6.1 Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a closure arrangement for a container, from which the subject-matter of independent claim 34 differs in that the lip of the locking member passes over the rim of the container and engages onto the cover.
- 6.1.1 The subject-matter of claim 34 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as preventing accidental release of the locking member.

6.1.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 34 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

Even though D1 shows a locking member lip that engages onto the cover, the construction of this locking mechanism is so different from that of D2, the skilled man would not be inclined to combine both documents to solve the problem.